UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,954	07/24/2003	Gerald G. Fagerness	ROC920030054US1	1614	
30206 IBM CORPOR	7590 02/19/2008 A TION		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROCHESTÈR	IP LAW DEPT. 917		SCHEIBEL, ROBERT C		
3605 HIGHWA	MN 55901-7829		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ROCIESTER,	MA 55501 7625		2619		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s) FAGERNESS ET AL.	
Advisory Action	10/625,954	FAGERNESS ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brie	Examiner	Art Unit		
,	Robert C. Scheibel	2619		
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ess	
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2008 FAILS TO PLAC	E THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior this application, applicant must timely file one of places the application in condition for allowance; a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) following time periods: 	the following replies: (1) an amendm (2) a N otice of Appeal (with appeal in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. T	ent, affidavit, or other evidence fee) in compliance with 37 CF	e, which R 41.31; or	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from th				
b) M The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing dat event, however, will the statutory period for reply e			hever is lateo	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either b	· ·	_	ED WINTWO	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	P 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). been filed is the date for purposes of determing the period of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brie of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)),	extension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origin three months after the mailing date of the f in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 nr or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41	of the fee. The appropriate extendally set in the final Office action; as e final rejection, even if timely filed must be filed within two months .37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the set of the filed within two months .37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the set of the filed within two months .37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the set of th	sion fee under 3 s set forth in (b) d, may reduce a of the date the appeal.	
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep	ly must be filed within the time period	set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a)	.	
AMENDMENTS				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final re (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require form (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NO 	urther consideration and/or search (s		ecause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the applicati appeal; and/or	•	ially reducing or simplifying the	e issues for	
(d)☐ They present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number of fin	al ly rejected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37	CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37	•	Non-Compliant Amendment (F	PTOL -324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo the non-allowable claim(s). 	ould be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendmen	t canceling	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendme how the new or amended claims would be reject. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	ed is provided below or appended.) 🛛 will be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final a	action, but before or on the date of fi	ling a Notice of Appeal will no	t he entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of				

and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. 🔲 Other: ____

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner has reviewed the entire prosecution record and believes the rejection in the Office Action of 11/28/2007 to be valid. Applicant argues that Shtayer does not disclose the limitation that the entries of claims 16 and 18 are stored in on -chip memory. Applicant argues t hat the notation "internal" in the figures of Shtayer indicates that the entries of the table are stored "internally" to the table. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The entries of the VP Tables and VC Tables of the figures are also stored internally to these tables and they are not labeled "internal" in the drawings. Shtayer is clearly trying to differntiate the relatively small link table from the other tables and it is clear that the notation "internal" indicates that it is stored in on-chip memory as opposed to the external memory on which the other entries are stored.

Further, Applicant argues that Foglar does not disclose the limitation of "a number of bits of the port number" and argues that P seems to be the number of ports. As clearly indicated in the previous action, Foglar discloses this value as the number of bits of the port number (see figures 1 and 2 as well as lines 33-37 of column 7.)